DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

JUN 04 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

445 1215 Sty SW.

Mushington, D.C.

F.C.C.

SUNSHINE PERIOD

174 morely St. 365

Will mers.

02-453-5382

25 may 2003 02-277

Confirm

JUN 0 5 2003

Distribution Center

Dear Si. (3),

Bequest you all sole against assert from the being eliminated in Pradicional Lebertion.

That you.

Surrenty.

Viting Cotizen Mangaut Donate



ų.

companion corporation Federal Comminication on compositions saw commissions Please donet remove 2-27 CARD USE CONSTINE PERIO Sinceral & Westerson a Distribution Center 3 (30 77.ch. Menhadole Mark Distribution Center Con aller, OR 97330 Confirmed coesten and year certies source and information is rewapoper that ore

20554 445 WESTSW. Washington, D.C. 10. Eagle Steen

Tedical Communication Comm, RECEIVED & INSPECTED you pecul o'gree with 122093 Mari THE REUS where Callemn W. A. Rinter atems + Bettyle Far, + a first ptop 1st comence new of spech of molar repetition n or property I be the right Ealth assern one ment you 4-9-10 vances, In other was to know what alight even diversified afinions apu with themound, because that All the agotymas Janeingert, directly

P. S. I have written to 4 sont Cofies of this to our Reporter Regarding the debate & stoffing To I heregulation of our Will be able to continue to get all of the news even the diversified news. Linearely, Dorothy Pryac A. outher figur 304 Blevin -12. Watch flynn

Copy to the Fedural Communication commi,

www.stat-felegram.com/13B

The really big decision in Washington

The future formation of American public opinion has fallen into the lap of an ambitious 36-year-old lawyer whose name you have never heard. On June 2, after deliberations con-



William Safire

ducted behind closed doors, he will decide the fate of media large and small, print and broadcast. No other decision made in Washington will more directly affect how you will be informed, persuaded and entertained.

His name is Kevin Martin. He and his wife, Catherine, now Vice President Dick Chency's public affairs adviser, are the most puissant young "power couple" in the capital. He is one of three Republican members of the five-person Federal Communications Commission, and because he recently broke ranks with his chairman, Michael Powell (Colin's son), on a telecom controversy, this engaging North Carolinian has become the swing vote on the power play that has media moguls salivating.

The FCC proposal remains officially secret to avoid public comment but was forced into the open by the two commission Democrats. It would end the ban in most cities of

■ An FCC proposal that would end the ban in most cities of cross-ownership of TV stations and newspapers needs open debate.

cross-ownership of television stations and newspapers, allowing such companies as The New York Times. The Washington Post and the Chicago Tribune to gobble up ever more electronic outlets. It would permit Viacom, Disney and AOL Time Warner to control TV stations with nearly half the national audience. In the largest cities, it would allow owners of "only" two TV stations to buy a third.

We've already seen what happened when the FCC allowed the monopolization of local radio: Today, three companies own half the stations in America, delivering a homogenized product that neglects local news coverage and dictates music sales.

And the FCC has abdicated enforcement of the "public interest" requirement in issuing licenses. Time was, broadcasters had to regularly reapply and show public interest programming to earn continuance; now they mail the FCC a postcard every eight years that nobody community identity. reads.

now blessed with a whole new world with what sociologists call "pluralis-

and the Internet? That's the shuckswe're-no-monopolists line that Rupert Murdoch takes.

The answer is "no." Many artists. consumers, musicians and journalists know that such protestations of cable and Internet competition by the huge dominators of content and communication are malarkey.

The overwhelming amount of news and entertainment comes via broadcast and print. Putting those outlets in fewer and bigger hands profits the few at the cost of the many.

Does that sound unconservative? Not to me. The concentration of power — political, corporate, media, cultural -- should be anathema to conservatives. The diffusion of power through local control, thereby encouraging individual participation, is the essence of federalism and the greatest expression of democracy.

Why do we have more channels but fewer real choices today? Because the ownership of our means of communication is shrinking. Moguls glory in amalgamation, but more individuals than they realize resent the loss of local control and/ in the open, take polls, get the presi-

We opponents of meganicigers Ah, but aren't viewers and readers—and cross-ownership are afflicted

of hot competition through cable tic ignorance." Libertarians pop off from what we assume to be the fringes of the left and right wings. but they do not yet realize that we outnumber the exponents of the new collectivist efficiency.

> That's why I march uncomfortably alongside CodePink Women for Peace and the National Rifle Association, between liberal Olympia Snowe and conservative Ted Stevens under the banner of "localism, competition and diversity of views." That's why, too, we resent the conflicted refusal of most networks and stations and their putative purchasers to report fully and in prime time on their owners' power grab scheduled for June 2.

> Must broadcasters of news act only on behalf of the powerful broadcast lobby? Are they not obligated, in the long-forgotten public interest, to call to the attention of viewers and readers the arrogance of a regulatory commission that will not hold extended public hearings on the most controversial decision in its history?

> So much of our lives should not be in the hands of one swing-vote commissioner. Let's debate this out dent on the record and turn up the

William Safire writes for The New York Times. satire anytimes.com

Therese Merrick
7202 W Becher St. Apt. 2
West Allis, WI 53219-1218

West Allis, WI 53219-1218

SUNSHINE PERIOD

- - 1212 We, D.W.

Washington, D.C. 20554 JUN 0 5 2003 RECEIVED & INSPECTION

JUN 0 4 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

To all Members of the Tederal Communications Communication Communication Communication Communication Communication Communicati

We the undersigned, wich to hereby state our objection to any and all plans to anthorize media derigulation. We do not want local TV, newspoper, radio and cable to all be owned by one corporate parent.

We and all American citizens have a right to receive information from many sources. The believe that the proposed authorization would deprive us of our constitutional friedoms. Monapoly power is a dangerous thing. aken to state - run media an Irag, Communist USSK.

Therefore we migh you to though your plan and protect the freedoms of our democracy.

Timerely; 1115 3214 Therese Merrick Therese Merrick 7202 W Becher St, West Allis Marie June Steinder Marie June Steinder 2234 S. 102#105 Disconsin SHARDN Sharon Sitter 2172 5 109th STWCSTAIL'S WI 53227 - Jelan Karnowski 87/8W. arthur are West alles WI 53:21 _ etricia Baie Patricia Baier 1618 \$ 58 West Allis VI 53214 Sandra Corgyan 10000 W. Fartan Av. #1905 Helwarkee, Let 53224 Tanif Juton 3455 5 96745, MILLAUKET, WI 53227 Comme Dais CORRINE DAIS 1618 S. 584 West Allie W, 53214

inny Chang 1202 Deherst west Allis, NX 53219

to form of making 6762 W. Rygers J. W. Delis 53219

Lister Kwen Floryana, Sister Guen Floryance, 7202 W. Becher, West Allis, W1, 53219

JUN 0 4 2003 FCC - MAILROOM

Dennis McSweeney Lesley Osman P.O. Box 820 Pt. Reyes, CA 94956

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

RE: Docket No. 02-277 Don't abandon media safeguards!

Dear FCC Commissioners,

We strongly urge that the FCC abandon its plan to end long-standing and critical safeguards that have served as an important "check and balance" system to help ensure diversity of media ownership. Under the proposal you are considering, one company in a community will be able to own the newspaper, several TV and radio stations, the cable system, and the principal Internet access company. There will be fewer owners of networks, stations, and newspapers nationwide. This will very badly damage true media diversity and competition. A competitive and diverse media is absolutely essential to ensure an informed citizenry and a healthy and vibrant democracy.

Eliminating these last remaining protections of the public trust would constitute a complete abandonment of the FCC's mission to ensure that our airwaves, which are owned by all Americans, are used in a manner which ensures the diverse range of voices and opinions needed in a healthy democracy. Loss of these protections would constitute a huge and unacceptable giveaway of public resources and political power to a few large and powerful media companies.

Further consolidation of the media in the false name of "deregulation" must be halted and in fact reversed. TV and radio news in the hands of a handful of profit-driven corporations has undermined our democracy more than any other modern force except the high cost of broadcast commercials during elections. The media companies have failed in their public trust to provide crucial unbiased information to the public about most public issues. Americans depend upon the media to bring us information that will allow us to make the informed choices necessary for the well-being of our nation and our future.

As Americans concerned about our democracy, we urge you to reject the current proposal to abandon the last remaining controls on media consolidation. Instead, we strongly urge you to break up the media conglomerates, to open the spectrum to a wide diversity of organizations and independent journalists, and to reinstate the Fairness Doctrine.

Most sincerely,

Dennis McSweeney

Lesley Osman Charles

May 27, 2003
Marlene H. Dorich, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-204B
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

JUN 0 4 2003

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL FCC - MAILROOM

02-277

RE: Upcoming proposed media ownership rules, scheduled vote June 2, 2003

Dear Ms. Dorich;

Enclosed please find out comment on the upcoming Media ownership rule changes being steamrolled through by Chairman Powell et. al. Obviously we are against any further DE-REGUALTION, (now 35% ownership). AS IS THE MAJORITY OF AMERICAN OPINION. WE FIND THESE CHANGES, (EVEN TO 40%, REPORTED WITH SNEAKY SECRET PLAN BY POWELL), UN-AMERICAN, AND A FURTHER UN-DEMOCRATIC THEFT OF OUR PUBLIC BROADCAST, RADIO, AIR_WAVES:

Sincerely,

Deborah and Steven Rogers

Clubul Ruges Stephen Rogers

910 North Pacific # 10

Oceanside, CA 92054

Rober Monteller Thurs Monthle

910 North Pacific #38 Oceanside, CA 92054

cc commissioners Copps, Adelstein, Senators Feinstein, Boxer, Mc Cain Congressman Darryl Issa

Copied to Marlene H. Dorich Secretary Oddica of the Secretary (FCC) 445 12th Street J.W. Em TW-204 B Washington, D.C. 20554 Market 1900 O public serving, non- diverse, 5 Broadcast monopolies control 70% of the media now, (non UHF), and 50% of all internet news,

WE ARE UNBELIEVARLY ANGRY, AND DEMAND THAT THE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD AT THE VERY LEAST BE EXTENDED AS REQUESTED BY MEMBERS OF CONGRESS. (SO TOO IGNORED BY CHAIMAN, (ALA MAO) POWELL , HOW DAMNED DARE HE!

NOR WILL WE ACCEPT ANY SECRET DEALS POWELL HAS APPARENTLY COOKED UP WITH HIS CRONIES FOR ANYMORE OWNERSHIP (40% REPORTED, GIVING MONOPOLIES 90% CONTROL NON UHF).

Powell's SHAMEFUL, UN-AMERICAN ACTIVITIES ARE FRIGHTENING:

Kudos to you commissioners Copps and Adelstein, Thank You.

Sincerely,

Deborah and Steven Rogers Colon Loging Replie Rogers
910 North Pacific #10
0ceanside, CA 92054

Robin and Thomas Montllor Police C. Waller Vers Maffler
910 North Pacific # 38

Oceanside, CA 92054

Senators Dianne Feinstein, Barbara Boxer, John Mc Cain Congressman Darryl Issa

May 27, 2003

Most Honorable FCC Commissioners Michael Copps Jonathan Adelstein 445 12th Street, SW Room TW-204B, (?) Washington, D.C. 20554

RE: Proposed, (APPARENTLY ALREADY DECIDED BY CHAIRMAN PUWELL), broad-cast, media rule changes in ownership, vote scheduled 6/2/2003

Most Honorable Commissioners Copps and Adelstein;

By design, (per network monopolies, Chairman Powell's program), we soon to be former Republicans, (Donors) were unaware of the upcoming Broadcast media ownership rule changes. This despite subscribing to a newspaper, (OWNED BY TRIBUNE) and watching the various news programs including cable. Apparently the only notice for MOST AMERICANS, (polls is by way of newspapers, network news, NEVER REPORTED ON NBC, MSNBC, FOX, CBS, (ON OUR AIRWAVES), AND ON OTHERS, ONLY AFTER THE FACT, AFTER PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD OVER, (APPARENTLY), TO DELIBERATELY WIN FURTHER MONOPOLY OF OUR AIRWAVES, RADIO NETWORKS ETC. OF COURSE THE CLEAR CHANNEL RADIO MONOPOLY HAS NOT REPORTED, NOR CBS, WESTWOOD ONE PROGRAM: MING MONOPOLITES HAVE LIKEWISE NOT REPORTED.

SO MUCH FOR "THE PUBLIC INTEREST, DIVERSITY IN PROGRAMMING"! Chairman Powell, and GOP cronies on the commission <u>UNDERESTIMATE OUR RAGE</u>, he's had two public meetings but 44 with the Networks, (NOT TOIMBUTION THE THOUSANDS HE AND OTHERS ON THE COMMISSION HAVE ACCEPTED IN TRIPS FROM THEE VERY MONOPOLIES HE, THEY ARE TO REGULATE)!!!! THIS CONDUCT, IS NOT ONLY UNEHTICAL, FAILING TO SERVE HIS MANDATE, BUT IS AN UN-AMERCAN THEFT OF OUR PROPERTY, "QUID PRO QUO". OUR DEMOCRACY HAS ALREADY BEEN DAMAGED SEVERELY DUE TO THE '96 "TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT" AS YOU ALL ARE PERFECTLY AWARE, AS SACRAMENTO CLEAR CHANNEL GENERAL MANAGER RADIO STATIONS BRAGGED IN IN OFFICE MEMO "WE'RE THE ONLY GAME IN TOWN", AS NEC Memo stated upon the firing of Phil Donahue, (higher ratings than Matthews show), they cannot have a show "that criticizes the president"!!!!

Every radio station that we are able to receive IS OWNED BY CLEAR CHANNEL, (3 LIMEAUGH ALL THE TIME STATIONS, OWN ALL SANNDIEGO OUTLETS) with the remainder owned by DISNEY, VIACOM, NONE REPORTING ON FCC RULE CHANGES, EITHER LIMBAUGH ET AL. OR WESTWOOD ONE PROGRAMMING MONOPOLIES. THIS IS AN UN-AMERICAN, FACIST DISGRACE.

Thanks to the valient efforts you two, (ALONE) commissioners have made, we were made aware of these changes by PBS, And C-Span, (only in the last three weeks). Thanks to you, were are fully aware, (now) of the vast majority of public opinion being against any further easing of ownership rules, (CNN 98% of public, AND WE CONCUR, THINKS MEDIA TOO CONCENTRATED NOW, ONLY ON OBSCURE "MONEYLINE"). These same non-

Elizabeth Landon-Lane 301 S. Crescent Dr. Apt. 21 Hollywood, FL 33021-7465

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554 DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

JUN 0 4 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

RE: Docket No. 02-277 Don't abandon media safeguards!

Dear FCC Commissioners,

I strongly urge that the FCC abandon its plan to end long-standing and critical safeguards that have served as an important "check and balance" system to help ensure diversity of media ownership. Under the proposal you are considering, one company in a community will be able to own the newspaper, several TV and radio stations, the cable system, and the principal Internet access company. There will be fewer owners of networks, stations, and newspapers nationwide. This will very badly damage true media diversity and competition. A competitive and diverse media is absolutely essential to ensure an informed citizenry and a healthy and vibrant democracy.

Eliminating these last remaining protections of the public trust would constitute a complete abandonment of the FCC's mission to ensure that our airwaves, which are owned by all Americans, are used in a manner which ensures the diverse range of voices and opinions needed in a healthy democracy. Loss of these protections would constitute a huge and unacceptable giveaway of public resources and political power to a few large and powerful media companies.

Further consolidation of the media in the false name of "deregulation" must be halted and in fact reversed. TV and radio news in the hands of a handful of profit-driven corporations has undermined our democracy more than any other modern force except the high cost of broadcast commercials during elections. The media companies have failed in their public trust to provide crucial unbiased information to the public about most public issues. Americans depend upon the media to bring us information that will allow us to make the informed choices necessary for the well-being of our nation and our future.

As an American concerned about our democracy, I urge you to reject the current proposal to abandon the last remaining controls on media consolidation. Instead, I strongly urge you to break up the media conglomerates, to open the spectrum to a wide diversity of organizations and independent journalists, and to reinstate the Fairness Doctrine.

Marida Ance. Elizabeth Landon-Lane

Most sincerely,

No. of Copies reo'd O Lin: ASODE



RECEIVED & INSPECTED

JUN 0 4 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

02-277

22 May 2003

Federal Communications Commission Washington DC

Re: Media Monopoly

Statist governments, whether fascist or communist, share one trait. Under such rule, government ownership of judge, jury, prosecutor and defense attorney guarantees oppression of the people.

Capitalist systems, for all their faults are better, because they [should] have an independent arbiter and referee, government agencies that limit unbridled corporate power. As long as they serve this function they serve the people and the interests of democracy. But when capitalist governments stop serving this function, and bow to corporate interests first, they serve the interests of all phony forms of government: oppression of the people.

Shame on you!

In a country whose collective consciousness is already monopolized by the media few, it's an outrage that you intend to sell out the American people -- who gave you a sacred trust. That you intend to vote in the closet on this issue, without demanding a broad and deep, public debate, is abominable

You must find your soul and change directions.

Push back the deadline on this issue and take the case to the public, through the airwaves; actively engage the press until this issue has reached the minds and the lips of every American citizen.

Remember those who created this country and your integrity, and serve this democracy.

3003 F I ACLEDE STATE

St. Louis, MO

May 29, 2002

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth St., SW Washington, DC 20554 DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL ROLECT

Re:

MB Docket No. 02-277

Appearance on Diane Rhem Show 5/29/03

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

JUN 0 4 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

Dear Commissioner Abernathy:

As you know, I had the privilege of appearing on the Diane Rehm Show today just after you were interviewed. However, since I do not know if you were able to listen to the remainder of the show, I do not know if you heard what I said regarding the role of the First Amendment in the media ownership review.

Several times, you stated that the D.C. Circuit said that when you set ownership limits, you are "limiting the First Amendment rights of broadcasters" and that to sustain an ownership limit you must "balance" the value of the regulation against the broadcasters' First Amendment rights.

This so misstates that law that one could almost presume either an intent to mislead listeners or a fundamental failure to understand the cases. The D.C. Circuit has repeatedly *rejected* the First Amendment claims of broadcasters. *See Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. v. FCC*, 284 F.3d 148, 167-68 (D.C. Cir. 2002); *Fox Television Stations, Inc. v. FCC*, 280 F.3d 1027, 1045-46 (D.C. Cir. 2002). Rather, it is the public which has a "paramount" First Amendment right to a diversity of views. *Red Lion Broadcasting Co., Inc. v. FCC*, 395 U.S. 367, 389-91 (1969).

In any event, I hope this clarification is useful. If nothing else, I hope you will reconsider your decision applying the proper legal standard, which considers the First Amendment rights of the public without any balancing against a non-existent First Amendment right of licensees to own unlimited federal licenses.

Sincerely

Harold Feld

Associate Director

ee: Stacy Robinson

Ris indiCharles rec'd () Line (ARQS)E

DAVID GLICK, M.A., M.F.C.C.

Licensed Marriage, Family and Child Counselor P.O. Box 542 Ross, California 94957 (415) 457-3825



SUNSHINE PERIOD

Reference #: MB Docket 02-277

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th St. SW
room TW-204B
Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Ms. Dortch,

I am on the Board of the Social Justice Center of Marin in San Anselmo, California and I am writing to register my strong opposition to any further efforts at media consolidation. I also work with the Marin Peace and Justice Coalition. Between the two organizations we reach some two thousand local residents. No one in our organizations is in favor of further media consolidation.

I believe the weakening of regulations in the 1996 Communications Act allowing for greater concentration of ownership in radio has been disastrous for our democracy. I fear that should the regulations be further weakened allowing for increased cross ownership and greater concentration of ownership of TV stations, the FCC would have been complicit in undermining our democracy.

I wish Chairman Powell would pay less attention to so-called "market efficiencies" and greater attention to "democratic sufficiencies."

Sincerely,

David Glick

No of Copies reold O

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

JUN 04 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

SUNSHINE PERIOD

Daniel Kirby Cunningham 3634 S. Lewiston ST. Aurora, CO 80013

May 29, 2003

02-277

To
The Honorable
Federal Communications Commission
445 - 12th Street, SW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Confirmed

JUN 0 5 2003

Distribution Center

Dear Commissioners:

This brief letter is to convey my personal opposition to expanding media ownership by individual owners. While I represent no corporate nor communication interests, my career has included ownership of a small country weekly newspaper and 10 years experience as a business reporter on two daily newspapers.

A free flow of opinion and ideas and newsis the foundation for a vibrant republic such as ours. Yes, food, shelter, clothes, power and heat are also important resources to be conserved and protected. But material comfort is meaningless without personal freedom.

In the information sector you well know that the flow of information has become constricted. Although Denver has two daily newspapers, most days the identical Associated Press article reports on a national or foreign event. Both papers even carry the same New York Times columnists, further restricting the free flow of ideas. The total lack of diversity in our news gathering is now a serious crisis. May I cite the alleged fall of Russia (thogh it still seems to rattle a large nuclear saber) in part came from a restricted flow of information. If your desire is to merge the U.S. with Russia, then you will continue to work toward eliminating choice. The more socialist (even communist) a country is, the more restricted are its choices, in the arena of consumerism as well as the arena of ideas.

I also point out the obvious observation that the topmost rungs of the national media are dominated by a narrow segment of the populace whose economic and political goals seem to be aimed toward very questionable ends. I would even allege that now is the time to begin a round of "trust busting" to break-up the media concentrations now strangling society.

Daniel K. Cunningham

From Klimmy -

JUN 0 4 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

SUNSHINE PERIOD

Lynn Halpern P.O. Box 650 Boonville, CA 95415

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RE: Docket No. 02-277 Don't abandon media safeguards!

The could be sound of

Dear FCC Commissioners,

I strongly urge that the FCC abandon its plan to end long-standing and critical safeguards that have served as an important "check and balance" system to help ensure diversity of media ownership. Under the proposal you are considering, one company in a community will be able to own the newspaper, several TV and radio stations, the cable system, and the principal Internet access company. There will be fewer owners of networks, stations, and newspapers nationwide. This will very badly damage true media diversity and competition. A competitive and diverse media is absolutely essential to ensure an informed citizenry and a healthy and vibrant democracy.

Eliminating these last remaining protections of the public trust would constitute a complete abandonment of the FCC's mission to ensure that our airwaves, which are owned by all Americans, are used in a manner which ensures the diverse range of voices and opinions needed in a healthy democracy. Loss of these protections would constitute a huge and unacceptable giveaway of public resources and political power to a few large and powerful media companies.

Further consolidation of the media in the false name of "deregulation" must be halted and in fact reversed. TV and radio news in the hands of a handful of profit-driven corporations has undermined our democracy more than any other modern force except the high cost of broadcast commercials during elections. The media companies have failed in their public trust to provide crucial unbiased information to the public about most public issues. Americans depend upon the media to bring us information that will allow us to make the informed choices necessary for the well-being of our nation and our future.

As an American concerned about our democracy, I urge you to reject the current proposal to abandon the last remaining controls on media consolidation. Instead, I strongly urge you to break up the media conglomerates, to open the spectrum to a wide diversity of organizations and independent journalists, and to reinstate the Fairness Doctrine.

Most sincerely,

Lynn Halpern

umn Haker

Steven J. Schwartz 662 Foxcroft Rd. Elkins Park, PA 19027-1526 DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

RE: Docket No. 02-277 Don't abandon media safeguards!

Dear FCC Commissioners,

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

JUN 0 4 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

I strongly urge that the FCC abandon its plan to end long-standing and critical safeguards that have served as an important "check and balance" system to help ensure diversity of media ownership. Under the proposal you are considering, one company in a community will be able to own the newspaper, several TV and radio stations, the cable system, and the principal Internet access company. There will be fewer owners of networks, stations, and newspapers nationwide. This will very badly damage true media diversity and competition. A competitive and diverse media is absolutely essential to ensure an informed citizenry and a healthy and vibrant democracy.

Eliminating these last remaining protections of the public trust would constitute a complete abandonment of the FCC's mission to ensure that our airwaves, which are owned by all Americans, are used in a manner which ensures the diverse range of voices and opinions needed in a healthy democracy. Loss of these protections would constitute a huge and unacceptable giveaway of public resources and political power to a few large and powerful media companies.

Further consolidation of the media in the false name of "deregulation" must be halted and in fact reversed. TV and radio news in the hands of a handful of profit-driven corporations has undermined our democracy more than any other modern force except the high cost of broadcast commercials during elections. The media companies have failed in their public trust to provide crucial unbiased information to the public about most public issues. Americans depend upon the media to bring us information that will allow us to make the informed choices necessary for the well-being of our nation and our future.

As an American concerned about our democracy, I urge you to reject the current proposal to abandon the last remaining controls on media consolidation. Instead, I strongly urge you to break up the media conglomerates, to open the spectrum to a wide diversity of organizations and independent journalists, and to reinstate the Fairness Doctrine.

Most sincered

Steven J. Schwart

RECEIVED & INC. STED JUN 0 4 2003 FCC - MAILROOM

SAILING PARTNER SHIPS



May 27, 2003

Richard Smith 8250 Branch Rd. Annandale, VA 22003

Sailpartnr@aol.com sailingpartnerships.com

> Tel: (703) 978-2160 Fax: (703) 978-2306

02-277

nfirmed

JUN 0 5 2003

Distribution Center Dear FCC.

This is my first written communication to the government of the country in which I have lived for 57 years. To sit idly sidelined seems foolish when such important decisions are being made regarding the communications industry.

A few days ago I was listening to a radio show presented on NPR at a computer show. Kojo Nambe was interviewing two guests to the show and wanted some feedback from the audience. He asked for a show of hands: "How many of you use the internet to get news from abroad from foreign broadcasters?" Kojo was surprised and I was amazed. Fifty percent of the respondents held up their hand to indicate that they felt compelled to go abroad (outside of the USA) to get another view of what was happening during the war in Iraq.

What will happen if media ownership continues in the direction of further concentration? Will this further our access to information from many sources, or will it tend to consolidate it? My strong sense of the situation is that this will greatly reduce the points of view expressed and greatly restrict our access to information and different points of view.

Will the media giants willfully restrict their journalists, or is there a more subtle muting of expression that will develop? The answer is this: it is very difficult to perceive the assumptions on which individuals build their lives and their thoughts. These assumptions reside and may be invisible to those who profess them. But to those who are on the outside, the parameters of thought are the heart and soul of the debates that should be taking place amongst the members of our society. How could we be so foolish as to decrease the points of view that are tolerated and expressed? Is there to be only a Will it be NBC vs AL JAZEERA, or will there be mainstream that is a single stream? gradations within the spectrum? When the corporate media says it is time for war, will there be another medium to put forth an anti-war position?

Let us act to multiply access to the media, not further restrict it. VOTE NO TO **FURTHER DEREGULATION!!**

Sincouly (mained)

SUNSHINE PERIOD Kalares A Jaeques
10941-A Jacques Kay
20 Paso, 2 19936

May 29, 2003

Marlese He Worten, Scartary

Pederal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

445 12 Th Street, D. W.

Jaam 7-W-204B

Washington, D.C. 20554

Reference MB Corbet 02-277

Dear Secretary Clartch: I am writing to let you know I am

strongly opposed to the weathering of insting law regarding media ownersty in what we there is far too little diversity in what we see and hear.

I strongly urge you to turn how their strong of our attempt at further monopolization of our actions and print.

Lencerely Xalanes I Jacques

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

JUN 0 4 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

COCKET THE CYTES DAIGINAL